Application No. Applicant(s) 10/538 224 RASMUSSEN, OLE-BENDT Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit CATHERINE SIMONE 1783 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) CATHERINE SIMONE. (3) _____. (2) Robert Strozier. (4) _____. Date of Interview: 24 May 2010 Time: ____ Type of Interview: ▼ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: 214 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /DAVID R SAMPLE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1783

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/538,224

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed canceling claim 214, since it reads the same as claim 205 and both depend from claim 114. Also, discussed adding titles in the Specification in order to put the case in condition for allowance, It was agreed to cancel claim 214 and amend the Specification to include titles by Examiner's Amendment which is attached hereto.